1	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SEP 2 9 2009
2	
3	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY DEPUTY
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
9	
10	Plaintiff, CASE NO.
11	v. 09 MJ 2234
12	JOSE LUIS TAPIA ORDER OF DETENTION
13	
14	Defendant.
15	Ι.
16	A. () On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:
17	1. () a crime of violence.
18	2. () an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death.
19	3. () a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence
20	of ten or more years.
21	4. () any felony - where defendant convicted of two or more prior offenses
22	described above.
23	5. () any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a
24	minor victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive device
25	or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18
26 27	U.S.C § 2250.
28	B. On motion by the Government / () on Court's own motion, in a case
20	
	ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING (18 U.S.C. §3142(i))

1 allegedly involving: On the further allegation by the Government of: 2 () 1. **(X)** a serious risk that the defendant will flee. 3 a serious risk that the defendant will: 4 2. () a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice. 5 b. () threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror or 6 7 attempt to do so. C. The Government () is/ () is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no 8 condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's 9 appearance as required and the safety or any person or the community. 10 11 12 II. A. (X) The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will 13 reasonably assure: 14 1. **(X)** the appearance of defendant as required. 15 () and/or 16 17 the safety of any person or the community. B. () The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient 18 evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by statute. 19 20 21 III. 22 The Court has considered: A. the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the 23 offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor 24 victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device; 25 B. the weight of evidence against the defendant; 26 C. the history and characteristics of the defendant; and 27 D. the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or to the community. 28

ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING (18 U.S.C. §3142(i))

ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING (18 U.S.C. §3142(i))

- 94 (03/07)

1	B. The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	VII
10	
11	A. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained prior to trial.
12	B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the
13	custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility
14	separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving
15	sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.
16	C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be afforded reasonable
17	opportunity for private consultation with counsel.
18	D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United States
19	or on request
20	of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility
21	in which defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States marshal for
22	the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
23	
24 25	DATED SONT 29 2009 (Quelam Grande)
26	CARLA M. WOEHRLE
27	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28	
20	